PAGES 1 - 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM ALSUP ORACLE AMERICA, INC., PLAINTIFF, VS. ) NO. C 10-03561 WHA GOGGLE, INC., ) SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA DEFENDANT. WEDNESDAY ) FEBRUARY 9, 2011 ) 11:00 O'CLOCK A.M. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS **APPEARANCES:** FOR PLAINTIFF: MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304-1018 BY: RICHARD S. BALLINGER, ESQUIRE ROMAN A. SWOOPES, ESQUIRE MARC DAVID PETERS, PH.D., PARTNER MICHAEL A. JACOBS, PARTNER DIANE C. GABL, ATTORNEY AT LAW 650-251-3974 AND ANDREW C. TEMKIN, CORPORATE COUNSEL 500 ORACLE PARKWAY M/S 50P7 REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 94065 FURTHER APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE. REPORTED BY: KATHERINE WYATT, CSR 9866, RMR, RPR OFFICIAL REPORTER - US DISTRICT COURT COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION BY ECLIPSE

KATHERINE WYATT, OFFICIAL REPORTER, RPR, RMR 925-212-5224

1 YOU GETTING US IN THE ROOM TOGETHER WAS PRODUCTIVE. 2 THE COURT: WELL, THEN, LET'S HEAR THE AGREEMENT. 3 MR. JACOBS: THE AGREEMENT IS THAT BY FEBRUARY 18TH 4 BOTH SIDES WILL FURTHER SUPPLEMENT THEIR INFRINGEMENT 5 CONTENTIONS AND NONINFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE CONCERN THE OTHER SIDE RAISED. 6 TO GO DOWN A LEVEL OF DETAIL, WE HAVE EXPRESSED A 7 CONCERN WITH THE LEVEL OF TECHNICAL DETAIL IN GOGGLE'S 8 NONINFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS. WHY IS THIS LIMITATION NOT PRESENT 9 10 IN THE SOURCE CODE WHERE IN THE INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS WE'VE 11 DELINEATED THE SOURCE CODE WHERE THAT LIMITATION CAN BE FOUND? GOGGLE HAS AGREED TO GIVE IT THEIR BEST CURRENT SHOT 12 13 AT ARTICULATING WHY THAT LIMITATION IS NOT PRESENT IN THAT CODE ITSELF, ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT THAT CODE IS OPERATING ON THE 14 HANDSETS. 15 RECIPROCALLY GOOGLE EXPRESSED A CONCERN THAT WE HAD 16 17 NOT ARTICULATED SUFFICIENTLY THE BASIS FOR OUR BELIEF THAT THE GOOGLE -- THAT THE ANDROID CODE THAT IS ON THE GOOGLE PUBLIC 18 19 ANDROID WEBSITE IS, IN FACT, THE CODE THAT IS PRESENT ON THE HANDSETS THAT WOULD REPRESENT THE DIRECT INFRINGEMENT IN CASES 20 OF INDIRECT INFRINGEMENT. 21 22 SO BY FEBRUARY 18TH, WE WILL BOTH BE SUPPLEMENTING 23 AND GIVING IT OUR BEST CURRENT SHOT WITH RESPECT TO THE OTHER 24 SIDE'S CONCERNS.

WE FURTHER AGREED THAT WE WILL DO ANOTHER UPDATE OF

25

```
1
      OUR CONTENTIONS AMPLIFYING ON EVIDENCE THAT HAS BEEN DEVELOPED
 2
      IN THE INTERIM ON APRIL 1ST.
 3
                 SO I THINK WE'RE BOTH SATISFIED THAT WE'VE MADE A LOT
      OF PROGRESS HERE, AT LEAST, AND DON'T NEED THE COURT'S
 4
 5
      INTERVENTION AT THIS TIME ON THAT, ON THE ISSUE OF OUR
      INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS AND THEIR NONINFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS.
 6
 7
                 THE COURT: SO PROPERLY STATED?
                 MR. WEINGAERTNER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, GOOD. THANK YOU FOR
     MAKING THAT AGREEMENT. I APPRECIATE IT.
10
11
                 IS THERE ANYTHING MORE I CAN DO FOR YOU TODAY?
12
                MR. JACOBS: WE HAD FURTHER BRIEFED CONCERNS WITH
13
     EACH OTHER'S INTERROGATORY RESPONSES. WE HAD ENOUGH TIME TO
14
     DISCUSS TWO OF THOSE, ONE IMPORTANT ONE, ONE THAT WAS IMPORTANT
15
     TO EACH SIDE. AND WE MADE ENOUGH PROGRESS IN RESOLVING THOSE
      THAT WE DON'T THINK WE NEED THE COURT'S INTERVENTION ON
16
17
     INTERROGATORIES AT THIS TIME.
                 WE BOTH AGREED THAT WHAT WE REALLY NEED TO BE DOING
18
19
     IS ENGAGING BETTER WITH EACH OTHER IN ATTEMPTING TO RESOLVE
20
      THESE DISAGREEMENTS AND EXPLAINING WHAT IS REALLY BOTHERING THE
      OTHER SIDE AND NOT ASKING THE COURT TO CALL THESE BALLS AND
21
22
     STRIKES AT THIS POINT.
23
                 THE COURT: AGREED?
24
                 MR. WEINGAERTNER: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE'RE IN
25
     AGREEMENT WITH THAT.
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
I, KATHERINE WYATT, THE UNDERSIGNED, HEREBY CERTIFY
THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS WERE REPORTED BY ME, A CERTIFIED
SHORTHAND REPORTER, AND WERE THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED BY ME INTO
TYPEWRITING; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A FULL, COMPLETE AND TRUE
RECORD OF SAID PROCEEDINGS.
I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NOT OF COUNSEL OR
ATTORNEY FOR EITHER OR ANY OF THE PARTIES IN THE FOREGOING
PROCEEDINGS AND CAPTION NAMED, OR IN ANY WAY INTERESTED IN THE
OUTCOME OF THE CAUSE NAMED IN SAID CAPTION.
THE FEE CHARGED AND THE PAGE FORMAT FOR THE
TRANSCRIPT CONFORM TO THE REGULATIONS OF THE JUDICIAL
CONFERENCE.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND THIS
10TH DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2011.
/S/ KATHERINE WYATT